

The means and ends of luxury value creation in cruise tourism: The case of Chinese tourists

Kam Hung^a, Huan Huang^b, Jiaying Lyu^{b,*}

^a School of Hotel and Tourism Management, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

^b Department of Tourism and Hotel Management, School of Management, Zhejiang University, 310058, Hangzhou, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Luxury value
Experience economy
Attitudinal loyalty
Chinese cruisers

ABSTRACT

China's consumer culture has been changing recently owing to the growth of the Chinese middle class, promotion of the Chinese cruise market, and Chinese consumers' emerging interest in pursuing luxury experiences. However, research on luxury consumption has traditionally focused on luxury goods; how luxury value can be created in luxury experience consumption, and what the outcome of luxury value creation may be, remains unknown. Taking cruise tourism as the research context, this study explored the links among cruise experience, luxury value and loyalty. Two survey rounds were conducted in Mainland China (N = 1200). Results reveal a hierarchical structure of cruise experiences, offering a unique perspective compared with conventional investigations of the tourist experience. Moreover, a comparison analysis was performed involving first-time and repeat cruise passengers. The findings also highlight the prominent role of quality perception rather than agentic feeling, in forming consumer loyalty; thus, cruisers appear mostly rational in determining their loyalty.

1. Introduction

Despite an optimistic outlook in the global luxury market, this market is facing various challenges due to changing market demands. First, luxury is shifting rapidly from 'having' to 'being,' as consumers are moving from owning luxury products to experiencing luxury services (Park, Reisinger, & Noh, 2010). Consumers' desire for experiences has given rise to the growing demand for luxury travel, thus calling for a better understanding of luxury travel experiences (Amadeus, 2017; Hung, Guillet, & Zhang, 2019). Second, the global increase in middle-class consumers has resulted in a marked trend of luxury brand dilution, wherein upscale items can enter the mainstream market at non-prohibitive prices (Amadeus, 2017; Lloyd & Luk, 2010). In light of these developments, unveiling the perceived luxury value from a mass perspective is imperative to building and maintaining customer loyalty. Third, although luxury demand seems to be weakening in the West, the desire for luxury is increasing in emerging economies such as China (Chadha & Husban, 2010; Quach & Thaichon, 2017). Chinese consumers contribute nearly a third of global luxury market expenditure (McKinsey and Company, 2017). The power of China's consumers is expected to increase, and practitioners are keen to uncover the luxury-buying behavior of this lucrative group. However, our understanding of this new luxury market is insufficient; more research concerning Chinese tourists' luxury value creation is warranted.

Cruise tourism provides an appropriate context in which to investigate the above-mentioned issues. Globally, the size and number of cruise ships are expanding as the number of passengers continues to grow. While the cruise market has been historically dominated by North American customers, cruising has expanded in Asia at an unprecedented rate (CLIA, 2017; Market Watch, 2020). China has emerged as the second-largest cruise industry source market in the world, hosting 2.1 million passengers in 2017. Such growth reflects the heightened taste for high-end travel options among Chinese middle-class tourists. Although most cruise lines in China are positioned as mass-market cruise lines, marketers have promoted cruise tourism as a luxury travel product. Mass-market cruise lines are striving to create luxury value (without transforming into luxury lines) by investing in innovations such as advanced technology, high-end facilities, unique dining services, abundant entertainment programs, and custom-designed experiential tours (Lyu, Hu, Hung, & Mao, 2017). For instance, Royal Caribbean International has been sending its best and newest ships to China, which can offer up to 4000 passengers the option to ride bumper cars, participate in simulated skydiving, and take in breathtaking scenery from a room that extends 300 feet above the ocean (Quartz, 2016). To promote the luxury image of cruise products, cruise line companies have launched various marketing campaigns: Norwegian Cruise Line developed the tagline "First Class at Sea", Royal Caribbean International tagged its fleet "Luxury Mansion on the Sea",

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Jiaying_lu@zju.edu.cn (J. Lyu).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2020.05.004>

Received 3 March 2020; Received in revised form 2 May 2020; Accepted 13 May 2020

1447-6770/© 2020 CAUTHE - COUNCIL FOR AUSTRALASIAN TOURISM AND HOSPITALITY EDUCATION. Published by Elsevier Ltd All rights reserved.

and MSC Cruises coined the phrase “Yacht in Ship”. Similar to luxury cruise lines, mass cruise lines can generate a sense of luxury based on consumers’ subjective evaluations (Lyu et al., 2017). However, limited empirical work has explored the predictors and outcomes of perceived luxury value for cruise travelers (Hwang & Hyun, 2016). In addition, such studies have tended to focus on individual countries, particularly those in the West.

Therefore, this research aims to (1) investigate the influences of cruise experiences on perceived luxury value; (2) investigate the influence of luxury value on tourist loyalty toward cruise tourism; (3) compare the relationships among cruise experience, luxury value, and loyalty in cruise tourism between first-time and repeat passengers. The results of this study contribute substantially to hospitality theory and practice. First, this study explores the consumption of luxury services in the context of cruise experiences by proposing and testing a hierarchical experience model. Second, this study examines perceived luxury value from the mass perspective and demonstrates relationships between cruise experience, luxury value, and loyalty toward cruise tourism. Third, this study enhances our understanding of China as a new luxury market, therefore enabling cruise management personnel to develop better strategies to profit from the country’s rapidly growing and competitive tourism sector.

2. Literature review

2.1. Cruise tourism

Cruise tourism is defined as a luxurious form of travel involving all-inclusive holiday packages on a cruise ship, lasting at least two days (Wondirad, 2019). Modern cruise tourism originated in the 1970s and has since experienced expansion worldwide (Yeoman & McMahon-Beattie, 2019). Cruise tourism is currently the fastest-growing segment of the tourism sector (Wondirad, 2019). Underpinning this growth is the emergence of new ships and cruises, fresh cruise destinations, cruise passengers’ changing demographics, technological advances, and cruise line companies’ strong marketing power (Deloitte, 2020; Market Watch, 2020; Zhao, 2019). With approximately 26.9 million international tourists in 2018, the cruise industry has come to play a major role in the global economy (CLIA, 2018). According to CLIA forecasts, global cruise traffic is expected to reach 37.6 million passengers in 2025. North American and European cruise passengers are also being joined by those from the growing Asian middle class; China has spearheaded the Asian cruise industry’s development, comprising 67.8% of the region’s cruise source market (Wang, 2019).

Along with the rapid development of the cruise economy, research on cruise tourism is steadily increasing. Studies have covered diverse topics, such as consumer behavior, human resources, revenue management, service quality and luxury cruising, environmental sustainability, and destination management (Hung, Wang, Guillet, & Liu, 2019; Wondirad, 2019). However, investigations of cruise tourism are not yet comprehensive and lag behind industry practice. As such, the field has seen numerous practical applications with comparatively limited theoretical support (Hung et al., 2019). For instance, shifting tourism demand in recent years (e.g., in the nature, characteristics, experiences, and trends of Chinese cruise tourists) remains somewhat underexplored along with new industry practices, such as corporate social responsibility in cruising. Future research should seek to keep pace with the evolution of the cruise tourism industry.

2.2. Luxury value perceptions and their impacts on loyalty

Perceived value is defined as “a consumer’s overall assessment of the utility of a product (or service) based on perceptions of what is received and what is given” (Zeithaml, 1988). Compared with general travel products, the appeal of luxury travel may lie in its ability to retain the luxury value of customers’ travel experiences (Chadha & Husban, 2010;

Nueno & Quelch, 1998), namely because luxury travel products tend to be of high quality (Rucker & Galinsky, 2009) and carry symbolic significance for self-expression (Veblen, 1899). High price and superior quality are common traits of luxury travel (Shukla & Puranni, 2012). The signaling motivation of luxury travel can be explained by social comparison theory (Locke, 2003; Wood, 1996). Psychologists have found that people feel good when making downward rather than upward comparisons. Luxury products are positioned around a wealthy, upper-class segment, which enables consumers to signal a high social status. Thus, these products stimulate downward comparison accompanied by a boost in agentic feelings (e.g., feeling superior to others). The luxury value that customers derive from travel can possess two main features: functional (high-quality perceptions) and emotional (agentic feelings).

Research has illustrated the importance of luxury value in tourist loyalty, which refers to tourists’ intentions to revisit a destination and their willingness to recommend it (Chi & Qu, 2008). Perceived quality in terms of accommodations, food, service, and design have been identified as excellent predictors of repurchase intention and brand prestige (Hwang & Han, 2016; Kwornik, 2008; Teye & Paris, 2010). The considerable influence of agentic feelings in motivating consumers to purchase luxury travel has also been highlighted (Hung & Petrick, 2011; Josiam, Huang, Spears, Kennon, & Bahulkar, 2009). Feeling superior to others is particularly important to tourist loyalty toward luxury travel; upscale tourists who emphasize status are more likely to seek to distinguish themselves from others by purchasing luxury brands (Thye, 2000). On the basis of the aforementioned literature, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H1. Quality perceptions positively influence on loyalty toward cruise tourism.

H2. Agentic feelings positively influence on loyalty toward cruise tourism.

2.3. Cruise experience

The tourism literature has long recognized the experiential nature of tourism activities (MacCannell, 1976). As a subjective mental state, the tourist experience has been conceptualized as a quest for meaning or value (Fesenmaier & Xiang, 2017; Przeclawski, 2013). Recently, empirical research based on theory-embedded models has emerged to construct and measure the underlying dimensions of tourist experiences. According to Pine and Gilmore (1998), consumer experiences can be classified into four types according to their positions on two axes: participation (passive/active) and involvement (absorption/immersion). The resultant forms of consumer experiences are entertainment (passive/absorption), education (active/absorption), escapism (active/immersion), and esthetics (passive/immersion). An entertainment experience refers to tourists participating in an event as observers or listeners (Pine & Gilmore, 1998). Education experiences capture what tourists learn from travel and how it changes their perspective (Petrick, Tonner, & Quinn, 2006; Qu & Ping, 1999). An esthetic experience describes the way tourists interpret and respond to esthetic cues in their travel environments (Kirillova, Fu, Lehto, & Cai, 2014). Escapism experiences help tourists break free from their everyday lives and immerse themselves in a different world (Oh, Fiore, & Jeoung, 2007).

These four consumer experiences comprise a useful lens for examining the tourist experience, and several empirical studies have demonstrated their validity in the cruise context (Hosany & Witham, 2010; Hwang & Han, 2016; Hwang & Hyun, 2016). However, Pine and Gilmore’s model interpreted consumer experience from a horizontal and static point of view, without considering the dynamic interplay of experiential components (Hung, 2018; Richards & Wilson, 2006). In recognizing the central role of escapism in experience involvement, Park,

Oh, and Park (2010) found that escapism experiences carry psychological benefits derived from satisfactory education, entertainment, and esthetic experiences. In other words, whereas entertainment, esthetics, and education experiences can be fulfilled by destination offerings (e.g., top-notch entertainment shows, luxury gala dinners, art exhibitions, and novel ports of call), escapism experiences are more likely to result from engaging with other experiential dimensions. This pattern coincides with the hierarchical experience model recently proposed by Hung (2018) in which various levels of the cruising experience were identified (i.e., direct experience, levitated experience, and ultimate experience) and built upon one another. Escapism is a key feature of levitated experience, which is an outcome of internalizing the initial experience of cruising and follows from cruisers' interactions with vacation features such as physical attributes of the cruise ship, services, convenience, contact with nature, and ports of call. Despite the proposed vertical experience model, this viewpoint has not yet been statistically tested, especially in the context of luxury and cruise tourism. Indeed, escapism experiences have long been considered a key dimension of tourist experiences (Crompton, 1979; Iso-Ahola, 1982; Pizam, 2010). The unique nature of escapism experiences is revealed by the concept of liminal space, defined as a place of unknown and a borderland between the mundane and the extraordinary (Preston-Whyte, 2004; Turner, 1974). As a transitional stage different from everyday spaces, a cruise can be construed as a liminal space with promises of novelty, privilege, and fantasy (Yarnal & Kerstetter, 2005). Tourists behave and feel differently during cruise vacations. Furthermore, cruises offer tourists a total escapism experience, such as Vegas-style onboard entertainment, luxurious pampering treatments, quality food and beverages, awe-inspiring esthetics, and exotic destinations (Hosany & Witham, 2010). Therefore, it is plausible to examine tourist experiences from a vertical perspective and treat escapism as an advanced level of experience compared with other experience dimensions. Accordingly, we hypothesize that entertainment, education, and esthetic experiences positively influence escapism experiences in cruise tourism.

H3. Entertainment experiences positively influence escapism experiences.

H4. Education experiences positively influence escapism experiences.

H5. Esthetic experiences positively influence escapism experiences.

2.4. Escapism experiences and luxury value

The extent to which a product creates appropriate experiences for customers determines value perceptions of the product (Smith & Colgate, 2007). This relationship can be explained by the stimulus–organism–response (SOR) model in environmental psychology (Mehrabian & Russell, 1974). The SOR model posits that the environment is a stimulus (S) containing cues that combine to affect people's internal evaluations (O), which in turn create approach or avoidance responses (R). This model has been widely used to investigate consumer experiences and their consequences (Peng & Kim, 2014; Vieira, 2013). In the context of cruise travel, cruise space and activities are stimuli in the travel environment (S) that inform passengers' travel experiences (O). These stimuli tend to shape the perceived value of cruise travel, which is the approach response (R). Despite the importance of escapism experiences in travel, few studies have examined the link between escapism experiences and luxury value. One exception is Hwang and Hyun (2016), who discovered that escapism experiences are an important antecedent of perceived luxury value; however, their study focused solely on American travelers. The understanding of luxury value formation toward cruise travel across Asian markets is lacking. Cruises have become a new mode of travel in Asia, helping people to “get away from it all” (Hung, 2018). With megaships entering China, cruise vacations have offered Chinese guests a superior overseas travel product and introduced a new standard of innovation and excellence in

tourism. In addition, the modern and upscale vacation amenities offered on a cruise are often in stark contrast with day-to-day life in China. Such experiences can be used to stand out and may affect tourists' self-concept, signaling social status, power, and wealth (Berger & Ward, 2010). Therefore, cruises offer passengers opportunities to engage in high-quality leisure and form distinctive social identities, which should enhance perceived luxury value (Hwang & Hyun, 2016; Kwortnik, 2006). Accordingly, we propose the following hypothesis:

H6. Escapism experiences positively influence luxury value.

2.5. Comparison of first-time and repeat tourists

Although tourists may return to a favored destination, their experiences can never be duplicated exactly (Lehto, O'Leary, & Morrison, 2004). Research has acknowledged differences in travel behaviors between first-time and repeat tourists (Lau & Mckercher, 2004; Morais & Lin, 2010). Distinctions include motivations, pre-trip decision-making and planning (Fuchs & Reichel, 2011; Petrick, Li, & Park, 2007), on-site experiences, consumption patterns (Petrick, 2004b; Su & Swanson, 2017), and post-trip evaluations (Huang & Hsu, 2009). However, study results have been somewhat inconsistent (Li, Cheng, Kim, & Petrick, 2008). For travel motivation, repeat tourists are more likely to seek relaxation and social needs, whereas first-time tourists are mainly interested in variety and sightseeing (Lehto et al., 2004). In terms of experience, repeat tourists tend to focus more on activity choice sets and in-depth experiences than new travelers (Lau & Mckercher, 2004; Oppermann, 1997). In addition, repeat visitors are more easily affected by emotional aspects of experiences in a destination compared with new arrivals (bib_citation_to_be_resolved Van Asperen, De Rooij, & Dijkmans, 2017). First-time visitors' intentions to patronize a destination are mainly informed by destination image, whereas repeat visitors are primarily driven by destination attachment (Morais & Lin, 2010). Results from a survey of cruise line passengers revealed that quality is the best predictor of repurchase intentions for first-time tourists, whereas perceived value is the best predictor for repeat visitors (Petrick, 2004a). Such differences are thought to be related to “cumulative inertia” (McGinnis, 1968), implying that future revisit behavior is more guaranteed for repeat travelers. Given findings from the literature, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H7. Previous experiences on cruises (first-time vs. repeat passengers) moderate relationships among cruise experience, luxury value, and loyalty in cruise tourism.

2.6. Proposed model

On the basis of this theoretical and empirical background, Fig. 1 presents a conceptual model incorporating the eight aforementioned hypotheses.

3. Methodology

An online survey was conducted in Mainland China. Overall, 800 respondents were included for data analysis, with 200 each from Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Shenzhen. Locations were chosen based on their top economic positions in the country and city competitiveness. Only respondents with cruising experiences were included in this study given the research purpose. In addition, to assess participants' cruise experiences within a reasonable time frame for memory recall, all participants had taken a cruise vacation within the past 12 months. As cruises are relatively expensive, all respondents were also expected to earn above-average income in their respective cities to match the sample with cruise lines' target market. All participants were required to be 25 years old or older to exclude students and underaged individuals and to include only those with financial viability.




Fig. 1. Proposed model.

The questionnaire was designed by the research team and incorporated several variables of interest. Cruising experience was assessed using Hosany and Witham’s (2010) measure, which was developed by Oh et al. (2007) based on Pine and Gilmore’s (1998) experience economy concept. The measure was tested among cruisers with satisfactory reliability and validity (Oh et al., 2007). The construct of luxury value consisted of two dimensions: agentic feelings and quality perceptions. We captured agentic feelings using three items adopted from Locke (2003) and measured quality perceptions with two items adopted from Fuchs and Diamantopoulos (2012). A 4-item scale was utilized to measure cruiser loyalty, which was modified by Hung and Petrick (2011). Evaluation of study constructs is described in Table 3. All items were rated on a 7-point Likert scale with responses ranging from 1 to 7, anchored by strongly disagree and strongly agree. Demographic information, such as age, gender, and educational background, was also collected in each survey.

Survey questions were first presented in English and later translated to Chinese by bilingual researchers proficient in each language. The questionnaire was preliminarily tested on 37 Chinese respondents to refine questions and estimate the completion time. Some revisions were made after the pilot test based on respondents’ feedback. Changes mainly concerned the order and wording of questions to enhance the flow of the survey and ensure the meaning of questions was conveyed accurately. The revised questionnaire was subsequently distributed by a reputable survey company. The company was chosen because of its membership scale and esteemed market research reputation.

Random sampling was performed with qualified members registered in the survey company’s database. Two survey rounds were conducted; the first-round survey served as a pilot to test reliability of the measurement scales, and the second-round survey was used to collect main data for hypotheses and model testing. The same sampling criteria were applied in both rounds to match respondents with the target cruise market. In total, 400 usable surveys were collected in China during the first round. Reliability tests revealed that the Cronbach’s alpha of all measures was 0.6 or above (Table 1), considered acceptable at the pilot stage (Hung & Petrick, 2010; Netemeyer, Bearden, & Sharma, 2003). Therefore, the original measures were not modified, and the same set of measures was included in the second-round survey.

Various statistical methods were employed to examine relationships among the proposed constructs. Demographic information of the sample was summarized using frequencies in SPSS 21.0. Following the two-step procedure proposed by Anderson and Gerbing (1988), confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was used to identify the validity of measurement items, and structural equation modeling (SEM) was performed to examine the model fit; each step was completed in Amos 21.0. We did not perform exploratory factor analysis because all latent constructs and measurement items were drawn from prior studies and proven to be acceptable, reliable, and valid.

Table 1

Reliability of measurement scales with pilot test data.

Measurement scales	Cronbach’s alpha
<i>Education experience</i>	.793
I learned a lot during this cruise.	
The experience made me more knowledgeable.	
It was a real learning experience.	
It stimulated my curiosity to learn new things.	
<i>Esthetic experience</i>	.769
In general, the setting of the ship was attractive.	
In general, the setting of the ships pays close attention to design details.	
It was pleasant just being here.	
I felt a real sense of harmony.	
<i>Entertainment experience</i>	.841
The onboard activities were amusing.	
The entertainment was captivating.	
Onboard activities were entertaining.	
Activities onboard were fun.	
<i>Escapism experience</i>	.809
I felt I played a different character here.	
The experience let me imagine being someone else.	
I completely escaped from my daily routine.	
I felt like I was in a different time or place.	
<i>Agentic feeling</i>	.876
I felt better off than others via cruise travel.	
I felt I had high status via cruise travel.	
I could signal more prestige via cruise travel.	
<i>Quality perception</i>	.596
My perception toward the cruise brand is (from generic to luxury).	
My perception toward the quality of the cruise product design is (from low to high).	
<i>Tourist attitudinal loyalty</i>	.844
I’ll say positive things about cruising to other people.	
I’ll intend to cruise in the next 3 years.	
I’ll recommend cruising to others.	
I’ll encourage friends and relatives to go on a cruise.	

4. Results

4.1. Respondent demographics

As shown in Table 2, respondents were nearly equally split between men (54.0%) and women (46.0%). The average age of respondents was 34 and ranged from 25 to 65 years old. Most respondents were married with children (78.8%) and employed full-time (91.9%). The majority of respondents (83.2%) held either a university or graduate degree. Regarding cruise frequency, more than half of all respondents (68.7%) were repeat passengers on a cruise vessel, and 31.3% were first-time passengers.

Table 2
Respondent demographics (N = 800).

Variables	n	%
<i>Gender</i>		
Male	432	54.0
Female	368	46.0
<i>Age</i>		
Under 31	297	37.1
31–45	437	54.6
46–60	64	8.0
Over 60	2	0.3
<i>Job status</i>		
Full-time employed	735	91.9
Part-time employed	50	6.3
Full-time homemaker	9	1.1
Retired	5	0.6
Other	1	0.1
<i>Education</i>		
High school and below	19	2.4
Diploma	115	14.4
Bachelor	578	72.2
Master and above	88	11.0
<i>Marital status</i>		
Married without kids	78	9.8
Married with kids	630	78.8
Single	91	11.3
Other	1	0.1
<i>Past experience on cruise</i>		
Only once	250	31.3
More than once	550	68.7

4.2. Scale validity and reliability

Table 3 summarizes the factor loadings of the constructs. All factor loadings for experience, luxury value, and loyalty were above 0.60, suggesting that these items were reliable indicators of the constructs. In determining the reliability of a construct, a composite reliability (CR)

Table 3
Confirmatory factor analysis for measurement model.

Items	Factor loading	Mean	SD	CR	AVE
<i>Education experience</i>					
I learned a lot during this cruise.	.86	5.69	1.045	.90	.70
The experience made me more knowledgeable.	.83	5.89	1.006		
It was a real learning experience.	.84	5.66	1.033		
It stimulated my curiosity to learn new things.	.82	5.80	1.034		
<i>Esthetic experience</i>					
In general, the setting of the ship was attractive.	.84	5.87	.976	.91	.71
In general, the setting of the ships pays close attention to design details.	.86	5.81	1.001		
It was pleasant just being here.	.82	5.77	1.049		
I felt a real sense of harmony.	.86	5.75	1.034		
<i>Entertainment experience</i>					
The onboard activities were amusing.	.84	5.92	.982	.91	.73
The entertainment was captivating.	.85	5.79	1.011		
Onboard activities were entertaining.	.87	5.90	.970		
Activities onboard were fun.	.86	5.90	.953		
<i>Escapism experience</i>					
I felt I played a different character here.	.83	5.53	1.128	.90	.69
The experience let me imagine being someone else.	.85	5.52	1.124		
I completely escaped from my daily routine.	.80	5.72	1.087		
I felt like I was in a different time or place.	.85	5.65	1.113		
<i>Agentic feeling</i>					
I felt better off than others via cruise travel.	.88	5.51	1.170	.93	.82
I felt I had high status via cruise travel.	.93	5.30	1.313		
I could signal more prestige via cruise travel.	.91	5.33	1.303		
<i>Quality perception</i>					
My perception toward the cruise brand is (from generic to luxury).	.88	5.43	.966	.87	.77
My perception toward the quality of the cruise product design is (from low to high).	.88	5.82	.865		
<i>Tourist attitudinal loyalty</i>					
I'll say positive things about cruising to other people.	.89	5.84	1.018	.93	.76
I'll intend to cruise in the next 3 years.	.81	5.95	1.011		
I'll recommend cruising to others.	.89	5.90	.988		
I'll encourage friends and relatives to go on a cruise.	.88	5.97	.924		


Table 4
Squared correlation matrix and AVE.

	EDU	EST	ENT	ESC	AGF	QLP	LOY
EDU	.70						
EST	.59	.71					
ENT	.60	.65	.73				
ESC	.48	.53	.51	.69			
AGF	.28	.28	.29	.42	.82		
QLP	.27	.29	.35	.30	.23	.77	
LOY	.47	.41	.51	.35	.23	.33	.76

Notes: ¹Diagonal and bold numbers represent the AVE for each construct; and off-diagonal numbers represent squared correlation values between constructs. All correlations are significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). ²EDU = education experience; EST = esthetic experience; ENT = entertainment experience; ESC = escapism experience; AGF = agentic feeling; QLP = quality perception; LOY = loyalty.

coefficient of 0.70 or above was considered acceptable (Hair, Anderson, Tatham, & Black, 1998). Our results showed that all CR values greatly exceeded the minimum threshold of 0.70, indicating acceptable internal consistency among measurement items. Average variance extracted (AVE) values were then calculated, all of which were above the suggested cutoff of 0.50 (Hair et al., 1998), ranging from 0.69 to 0.82; thus, convergent validity was acceptable. Table 4 lists the bivariate correlations between latent variables. Their squared values were generally smaller than their corresponding AVE values, implying that the model demonstrated adequate discriminant validity (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

CFA was conducted with the validity sample and revealed the following goodness-of-fit indices: CMIN/DF of 2.902 ($\chi^2 = 737.191$; $df = 254$; $p < 0.001$), NFI of 0.948, CFI of 0.965, and RMSEA of 0.049. The indicators each therefore demonstrated a satisfactory model fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999).



Notes: *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$; DMIN/DF = 3.637, NFI = 0.931, TLI = 0.942, CFI = 0.949, RMSEA = 0.057

Fig. 2. Structural path coefficients.

4.3. Structural model analysis

This study examines relationships among hierarchical experience, luxury value, and attitudinal loyalty in cruise tourism. Our hypotheses were based on previous studies. The proposed model was tested using SEM after conducting a curve estimation for all relationships. The result of the SEM analysis exhibited a fair model fit, as shown in Fig. 2: DMIN/DF = 3.637, NFI = 0.931, TLI = 0.942, CFI = 0.949, and RMSEA = 0.057. These results provide significant support for six out of the seven proposed hypotheses at $p < .01$, except for the path from agentic feeling to attitudinal loyalty. Education, Esthetics, entertainment, and education experiences proved to be significant antecedents of escapism experience and explained 79.8% of the variance. Quality perception was found to exert a significant mediating effect between escapism experience and attitudinal loyalty of Chinese cruisers, explaining 70.2% of the variance in the latter. Although agentic feeling was significantly affected by escapism experience with 52.4% of its variance explained, it was not a statistically reliable predictor of attitudinal loyalty.

4.4. Group comparisons

Invariance tests were used to test the moderating effect of tourists' previous cruise experience on the relationships among cruise experience, luxury value, and loyalty in cruise tourism. Four nested models were tested in this study: (a) unconstrained, (b) measurement weights, (c) structural weights, and (d) structural covariances. After each test, goodness-of-fit indices were inspected to observe the effects of the imposed constraints.

As revealed in Table 5, the chi-square difference test results for all three models were presented. Two out of the three models were significant ($p < 0.05$), indicating that the imposed constraints significantly impaired each model's fit to the data. Structural models were tested separately on the two groups to compare parameter estimates; results are shown in Table 6. Significant differences were observed in the path from entertainment experience to escapism experience and that from education experience to escapism experience. Specifically, the former was found to be statistically significant among first-time passengers only and the latter among repeat passengers only.

5. Discussion

The objective of this study was to examine potential psychological predictors and outcomes of perceived luxury value in the context of

Table 5 Invariance tests for group comparison.

Model	χ^2	df	χ^2	df	p	RMSEA	IFI	CFI
Unconstrained	1321.002	530				.043	.941	.940
Measurement weights	1344.187	548	23.185	18	.184	.043	.940	.940
Structural weights	1468.843	580	147.841	50	.000	.044	.933	.933
Structural covariances	1481.266	586	160.264	56	.000	.044	.933	.932
First-timers (n = 250)	588.391	265				.070	.932	.931
Repeat visitors (n = 550)	732.611	265				.057	.946	.945

Invariance tests for group comparison.

cruise tourism. Supporting our expectations, the findings illustrate the interplay between cruise experience dimensions and highlight the role of escapism experience in luxury value creation. Our results also demonstrate that tourists with high perceptions of luxury value reported increased attitudinal loyalty toward cruise tourism. In addition, similarities and differences were found in the cruise experiences of first-time and repeat tourists. The remainder of this section discusses the major contributions of the study.

First, this study extends Pine and Gilmore's (1998) experience theory within the context of cruise tourism. Our study found that cruise travel experiences can be interpreted by the 4E model (escapism, education, entertainment, and esthetic experiences), thereby validating the structural dimensions of consumer experiences. Nevertheless, as our measurement scale was mainly based on Pine and Gilmore's (1998) experience framework, a context-specific measurement scale for cruising could be developed from scratch without presumptions around cruising structure, particularly with reference to Chinese cruisers, who constitute a new market in cruise tourism. In addition, previous studies on consumer experiences regarded the four types of experiences as dimensional, without interactions with one another. However, in this study, entertainment, education, and esthetic experiences were considered antecedents of escapism experience and explained 79.8% of its variance. This finding coincides with Park et al.'s (2010) idea that escapism is an advanced level of experience emanating from satisfactory education, entertainment, and esthetic experiences. Our result can also be explained by Quan and Wang (2004), who suggested that tourism experiences consisted of two parts: a "peak experience," which is distinct from tourists' daily lives, and a "supporting consumer experience" such as entertainment. Similarly, Qu and Ping (1999) framed tourists' escapism experiences as a summative state of goal achievement in

Table 6
Path analysis for group comparison of past cruise experiences.

Hypothesis	Path	First-timers	Repeaters
H1	Quality perception → Loyalty	0.755* * *	0.840***
H2	Agentic feeling → Loyalty	ns	ns
H3	Entertainment experience → Escapism experience	0.364*	ns
H4	Education experience → Escapism experience	ns	0.328**
H5	Esthetic experience → Escapism experience	0.465**	0.313*
H6a	Escapism experience → Quality perception	0.810* * *	0.856* * *
H6b	Escapism experience → Agentic feeling	0.686* * *	0.721* * *

Note:

¹Numbers in the cell represent the path coefficients; ²* * * $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$; ³First-timers refer to the respondents who were the first-time passengers on cruise; Repeaters refer to the those who had more than once cruise tourism experience.

cruise tourism and a performance dimension of a destination. Furthermore, esthetic experience was found to have the strongest positive effect on escapism experience, followed by entertainment and education experiences. This result is consistent with several studies, implying that consumers seek immersive esthetic experiences that promote escapism (Park, Reisinger, et al., 2010). From 20 photo-interviews with Chinese cruisers, Hung (2018) developed a hierarchical experience model that provides an alternative perspective on cruising experiences. Additional effort should be devoted to testing which experience structure best suits Chinese cruisers. Nevertheless, this study partially substantiates the hierarchical structure of cruising experiences.

Second, this study enhances the understanding of intricate mechanisms that form luxury value and subsequent customer loyalty. Results showed that escapism experiences provide cruise travelers with agentic feelings and signals of product quality, both of which are central to the appeal of luxury travel. Previous studies have suggested that the tourist experience is an important explanatory factor in the evaluation of perceived value (Oh et al., 2007). For example, Song, Lee, Park, Hwang, and Reisinger (2015) reported that escapism experience is a key predictor of functional and emotional values. In this regard, our findings support the literature and extend it by revealing the positive effects of cruise travelers' escapism experiences on luxury value. Furthermore, the present study reveals that quality perceptions positively determine loyalty toward cruise tourism, whereas agentic feelings do not affect loyalty. In other words, repurchase intention around cruise travel originates from the perceived quality of a cruise product rather than the social symbolic meaning of cruising. Aligned with previous literature, this study indicates that for new luxury or mass luxury products, quality reigns as a top factor in luxury value (Lloyd & Luk, 2010). Indeed, middle-class consumers are becoming more interested in the pleasure derived from using luxury products, whereas they tend to be less concerned about the prestige impressions they make on others by owning luxury products (Husic & Cicic, 2009). Quality, rather than agentic feelings, has thus become a key consideration in building new luxury models. Consumers may also have diverse perceptions of quality in terms of design, functionality, efficiency, esthetics, service, personalization, reliability, sustainability, durability, and ethical practices, further elucidating quality's notable impact on loyalty (Silverstein & Fiske, 2003). This study also implies that as cruise travel becomes more accessible to Chinese tourists, it will evolve into a less status-relevant but high-quality tourism product.

Third, this study highlights the difference between first-time and repeat passengers in partaking in cruising experiences. Whereas first-time passengers focus on entertainment experiences, education experiences are more meaningful to repeat passengers in their pursuit of escapism in cruising. This finding coincides with observations from Atsmon, Ducarme, Magni, and Wu (2012) regarding luxury consumption; specifically, new entrants prefer more conspicuous consumption, and experienced luxury shoppers enjoy low-key consumption. This result provides further support to the unique needs and pursuits of travelers with varying extents of prior experience, as suggested in previous

studies.

6. Managerial implications

The results of this study have important practical implications. Cruise lines may employ the hierarchical framework to understand the nature of cruise experiences and design tailored strategies. A total escapism experience offers a promising strategy for marketers, as consumers in this study considered this experiential form an antecedent of luxury value. Esthetic experience was found to be the most influential predictor of escapism experience; thus, cruise liners should create and maintain esthetically pleasing environments. More attention could be paid to placemaking, which involves ideal space activation with esthetic diversity to evoke different moods around the ship and establish an effective social platform with a balance of interaction and seclusion. These efforts parallel current trends in boutique or lifestyle hotel concepts that leverage art, architecture, and general design elements as differentiators. In addition, as Chinese tourists place high importance on shore excursions (Hung, 2018)—an aspect currently absent from cruising experience measures—cruise lines should highlight unique port destinations through awe-inspiring itineraries. Education was the second most important predictor of the escapism experience. Travel is truly a type of global education that entails tourists' enthusiasm and active participation (Pine & Gilmore, 1998). Cruise lines should consider hosting themed educational events and programs for art, literature, sports, and music among other forms of entertainment. For example, Costa Cruises launched the Juventus Academy, where youngsters can hone their skills in training sessions and matches led by professionally trained Juventus coaches. Novel ports of call should also be promoted where travelers can see and learn about different cultures. Entertainment is another important dimension in predicting escapism experience. Cruise liners should thus focus on offering appealing, innovative entertainment services. For instance, the Sky Pad virtual reality trampoline on Royal Caribbean cruises and Broadway-caliber productions on Princess Cruises exemplify the significance of entertainment in creating escapism experiences.

The results of this study also serve as a warning regarding the loyalty management of mass luxury cruise lines, some of which emphasize conspicuous consumption to ensure that cruisers experience agentic feelings and status elevation. Instead, the influence of quality perception on loyalty implies that the cruise industry should continually strive to provide high-quality products in all aspects. For instance, cabins should be outfitted with high-quality beds, mattresses, and pillows. Well-designed ship facilities should include cutting-edge equipment, and food storage facilities should be carefully managed to maintain product freshness. To provide more personalized services, cruise ships should have high staff-to-guest ratios.

To a certain extent, our findings indicate that first-time and repeat cruisers differ in their evaluations of cruise experiences. Entertainment experience is more relevant for forming an escapism experience among first-time tourists while educational experience is more important for

repeat travelers. Thus, offering the same suite of products and services to all passengers would be suboptimal in crafting escapism experiences. Cruise management should instead consider cruisers' diverse needs and create more tailored products.

7. Conclusion

This study adopts customer-dominant logic to examine the role of luxury value in the cruise tourism context and understand the importance of cruise experiences within consumers' value creation process. This research fills a gap in the literature by exploring cruise tourism among mainland Chinese tourists. Thus, findings contribute to the tourism and hospitality field by generating new knowledge about how consumers create luxury value and develop loyalty in the mass luxury travel experience. The key findings and implications of this research suggest that delivering an overall escapism experience is pivotal for cruise visitors in creating luxury value and developing loyalty toward cruise tourism.

However, the results of the present study are limited by several factors. First, this study focused exclusively on cruise tourism, which restricts the generalizability of the structural model. Future studies could test the model on different tourism venues, such as golf or wine tourism destinations. Third, the measurement scales used in this study have room for improvement. Our assessment of luxury value was based on a two-dimensional model; subsequent research could include additional aspects of luxury, such as uniqueness and scarcity. The high correlation between experience and luxury value suggests some degree of overlap in the constructs; thus, future investigations should consider using different measures.

Acknowledgements

The work described in this paper was fully supported by a grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. PolyU155020/19B).

References

- Amadeus (2016). *Shaping the future of luxury tourism: Future traveler tribes*. Retrieved on April 10, 2020 from the World Wide Web <https://amadeus.com/documents/en/travel-industry/report/shaping-the-future-of-luxury-travel-future-traveller-tribes-2030.pdf>.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(3), 411.
- Atsmon, Y. D., Ducarme, M., Magni, C., & Wu, C. (2012). *Luxury without borders: China's new class of shoppers take on the world: The McKinsey Chinese luxury consumer survey*. McKinsey Consumer & Shopper Insights.
- Berger, J., & Ward, M. (2010). Subtle signals of inconspicuous consumption. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(4), 555–569.
- Chadha, R., & Husban, P. (2010). *The cult of the luxury brand: Inside Asia's love affair with luxury*. London, Boston: Nicholas Brealey International.
- Chi, G. Q., & Qu, H. (2008). Examining the structural relationships of destination image, tourist satisfaction and destination loyalty: An integrated approach. *Tourism Management*, 29(4), 624–636.
- Crompton, J. L. (1979). Motivations for pleasure vacation. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 6(4), 408–424.
- Cruise Lines International Association [CLIA] (2017, December). *State of the cruise industry outlook 2017*.
- Cruise Lines International Association [CLIA] (2018, July). *Asia cruise trends: 2018 edition*. Retrieved from <https://cliaasia.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/asia-cruise-trends-2018.pdf>.
- Deloitte (2020). *Cruise industry analysis on the passenger experience: Personalized cruises sail away with profits*. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/consumer-business/articles/cruise-industry-analysis-passenger-experience-cruise-passenger-demographics.html>.
- Fesenmaier, D. R., & Xiang, Z. (2017). *Introduction to tourism design and design science in tourism*. Springer International Publishing.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.
- Fuchs, C., & Diamantopoulos, A. (2012). Customer-perceived positioning effectiveness: Conceptualization, operationalization, and implications for new product managers. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(2), 229–244.
- Fuchs, G., & Reichel, A. (2011). An exploratory inquiry into destination risk perceptions and risk reduction strategies of first time vs. repeat visitors to a highly volatile destination. *Tourism Management*, 32(2), 266–276.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*. Englewood, CA: Prentice Hall International.
- Hosany, S., & Witham, M. (2010). Dimensions of cruisers' experiences, satisfaction, and intention to recommend. *Journal of Travel Research*, 49(3), 351–364.
- Huang, S., & Hsu, C. H. C. (2009). Effects of travel motivation, past experience, perceived constraint, and attitude on revisit intention. *Journal of Travel Research*, 48(1), 29–44.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1–55.
- Hung, K. (2018). Understanding the cruising experience of Chinese travelers through photo-interviewing technique and hierarchical experience model. *Tourism Management*, 69, 88–96.
- Hung, K., & Petrick, J. F. (2010). Develop a measurement scale for constraints to cruising. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 37(1), 206–228.
- Hung, K., & Petrick, J. F. (2011). Why do you cruise? Exploring the motivations for taking cruise holidays, and the construction of a cruising motivation scale. *Tourism Management*, 32(2), 386–393.
- Hung, K., Wang, S., Guillet, B. D., & Liu, Z. (2019). An overview of cruise tourism research through comparison of cruise studies published in English and Chinese. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77, 207–216.
- Husic, M., & Cicic, M. (2009). Luxury consumption factors. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 13(2), 231–245.
- Hwang, J., & Han, H. (2016). A model of brand prestige formation in the casino industry. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 33(8), 1106–1123.
- Hwang, J., & Hyun, S. S. (2016). Perceived firm innovativeness in cruise travelers' experience and perceived luxury value: The moderating effect of advertising effectiveness. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 21(sup1), S101–S128.
- Iso-Ahola, S. E. (1982). Toward a social psychological theory of tourism motivation: A rejoinder. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 9(2), 256–262.
- Josiam, B. M., Huang, T., Spears, D. L., Kennon, L., & Bahulkar, G. A. (2009). Understanding ethnic Chinese travelers on North American cruise tours: Motivations, perceptions, and satisfaction of cruisers. *Journal of China Tourism Research*, 5(1), 77–101.
- Kirilova, K., Fu, X., Lehto, X., & Cai, L. (2014). What makes a destination beautiful? Dimensions of tourist aesthetic judgment. *Tourism Management*, 42, 282–293.
- Kwortnik, R. J. (2006). Carnival cruise lines: Burnishing the brand. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 47(3), 286–300.
- Kwortnik, R. J. (2008). Shipscape influence on the leisure cruise experience. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 2(4), 289–311.
- Lau, A. L. S., & Mckercher, B. (2004). Exploration versus acquisition: A comparison of first-time and repeat visitors. *Journal of Travel Research*, 42(3), 279–285.
- Lehto, X. Y., O'Leary, J. T., & Morrison, A. M. (2004). The effect of prior experience on vacation behavior. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31(4), 801–818.
- Li, X., Cheng, C. K., Kim, H., & Petrick, J. F. (2008). A systematic comparison of first-time and repeat visitors via a two-phase online survey. *Tourism Management*, 29(2), 278–293.
- Lloyd, A. E., & Luk, S. T. K. (2010). The devil wears Prada or Zara: A revelation into customer perceived value of luxury and mass fashion brands. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 1(3), 129–141.
- Locke, K. D. (2003). Status and solidarity in social comparison: Agentic and communal values and vertical and horizontal directions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(3), 619–631.
- Lyu, J., Hu, L., Hung, K., & Mao, Z. (2017). Assessing servicescape of cruise tourism: The perception of Chinese tourists. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 29(10), 2556–2572.
- MacCannell, D. (1976). *The tourist: A new theory of the leisure class*. Schocken Books.
- Market Watch (2020). *Cruise market share 2020 global industry size, growth, segments, revenue, manufacturers and 2026 forecast research report*.
- McGinnis, R. (1968). A stochastic model of social mobility. *American Sociological Review*, 33(5), 712–722.
- McKinsey and Company (2017). *Chinese luxury consumers: More global, more demanding, still spending*. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/marketing-and-sales/our-insights/chinese-luxury-consumers-more-global-more-demanding-still-spending>.
- Mehrabian, A., & Russell, J. A. (1974). The basic emotional impact of environments. *Perceptual & Motor Skills*, 38(1), 283–301.
- Morais, D. B., & Lin, C. H. (2010). Why do first-time and repeat visitors patronize a destination? *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 27(2), 193–210.
- Netemeyer, R. G., Bearden, W. O., & Sharma, S. (2003). *Scaling procedures: Issues and applications*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Nueno, J. L., & Quelch, J. A. (1998). The mass marketing of luxury. *Business Horizons*, 41(6), 61–68.
- Oh, H. M., Fiore, A. M., & Jeoung, M. (2007). Measuring experience economy concepts: Tourism applications. *Journal of Travel Research*, 46(2), 119–132.
- Oppermann, M. (1997). First-time and repeat visitors to New Zealand. *Tourism Management*, 18(3), 177–181.
- Park, M., Oh, H., & Park, J. (2010a). Measuring the experience economy of film festival participants. *International Journal of Tourism Sciences*, 10(2), 35–54.
- Park, K., Reisinger, Y., & Noh, E. (2010b). Luxury shopping in tourism. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 12(2), 164–178.
- Peng, C., & Kim, Y. G. (2014). Application of the stimuli-organism-response (SOR) framework to online shopping behavior. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 13(3–4), 159–176.
- Petrick, J. F. (2004a). First timers' and repeaters' perceived value. *Journal of Travel*

- Research*, 43(1), 29–38.
- Petrick, J. F. (2004b). Are loyal visitors desired visitors? *Tourism Management*, 25(4), 463–470.
- Petrick, J. F., Li, X., & Park, S. Y. (2007). Cruise passengers' decision-making processes. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 23(1), 1–14.
- Petrick, J. F., Tonner, C., & Quinn, C. (2006). The utilization of critical incident technique to examine cruise passengers' repurchase intentions. *Journal of Travel Research*, 44(3), 273–280.
- Pine, B. J., & Gilmore, J. H. (1998). Welcome to the experience economy. *Harvard Business Review*, 76(4), 97–105.
- Pizam, A. (2010). Creating memorable experiences. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29(3) 343–343.
- Preston-Whyte, R. (2004). *The beach as a liminal space*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Przeclawski, K. (2013). The role of tourism in the contemporary culture. *Tourist Review*, 40(1), 2–7.
- Quach, S., & Thaichon, P. (2017). From connoisseur luxury to mass luxury: Value co-creation and co-destruction in the online environment. *Journal of Business Research*, 81, 163–172.
- Quan, S., & Wang, N. (2004). Towards a structural model of the tourist experience: An illustration from food experiences in tourism. *Tourism Management*, 25(3), 297–305.
- Quartz (2016). *Why the best cruise ships are in China*. Retrieved from <https://qz.com/649287/why-the-best-cruise-ships-are-in-china/>.
- Qu, H., & Ping, E. W. Y. (1999). A service performance model of Hong Kong cruise travelers' motivation factors and satisfaction. *Tourism Management*, 20(2), 237–244.
- Richards, G., & Wilson, J. (2006). Developing creativity in tourist experiences: A solution to the serial reproduction of culture? *Tourism Management*, 27(6), 1209–1223.
- Royal Caribbean International (2013, November 15–17). The Chinese cruise market profile study. Presented to the 8th China cruise shipping & international cruise expo, Shanghai.
- Rucker, D. D., & Galinsky, A. D. (2009). Conspicuous consumption versus utilitarian ideals: How different levels of power shape consumer behavior. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45(3), 549–555.
- Shukla, P., & Puranni, K. (2012). Comparing the importance of luxury value perceptions in cross-national contexts. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(10), 1417–1424.
- Silverstein, M. J., & Fiske, N. (2003). Luxury for the masses. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(4), 48–59.
- Smith, J. B., & Colgate, M. (2007). Customer value creation: A practical framework. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 15(1), 7–23.
- Song, H. J., Lee, C. K., Park, J. A., Hwang, Y. H., & Reisinger, Y. (2015). The influence of tourist experience on perceived value and satisfaction with temple stays: The experience economy theory. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 32(4), 401–415.
- Su, L., & Swanson, S. R. (2017). The effect of destination social responsibility on tourist environmentally responsible behavior: Compared analysis of first-time and repeat tourists. *Tourism Management*, 60, 308–321.
- Teye, V., & Paris, C. M. (2010). Cruise line industry and Caribbean tourism: Guests' motivations, activities, and destination preference. *Tourism Review International*, 14(1), 17–28.
- Thye, S. R. (2000). A status value theory of power in exchange relations. *American Sociological Review*, 65(3), 407–432.
- Turner, V. (1974). Dramas, fields, and metaphors: Symbolic action in human society. *Sociological Analysis*, 35(4), 295.
- Van Asperen, M., De Rooij, P., & Dijkmans, C. (2017). Engagement-based loyalty: The effects of social media engagement on customer loyalty in the travel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration*, (3), 1–17.
- Veblen, T. (1899). *The theory of the leisure class*. New York: Penguin Classics: Macmillan.
- Vieira, V. A. (2013). Stimuli–organism–response framework: A meta-analytic review in the store environment. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(9), 1420–1426.
- Wang, H. (Ed.). (2019). *Report on the development of cruise industry in China* Springer.
- Wondirad, A. (2019). Retracing the past, comprehending the present and contemplating the future of cruise tourism through a meta-analysis of journal publications. *Marine Policy*, 108, 103618.
- Wood, J. V. (1996). What is social comparison and how should we study it? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 22(5), 520–537.
- Yarnal, C. M., & Kerstetter, D. (2005). Casting off: An exploration of cruise ship space, group tour behavior, and social interaction. *Journal of Travel Research*, 43(4), 368–379.
- Yeoman, L., & McMahon-Beattie, U. (Eds.). (2019). *The future past of tourism: Historical perspectives and future evolutions*. Channel View Publications.
- Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *Journal of Marketing*, 52(3), 2–22.
- Zhao, J. (2019). Industry leader interview: Jerry montgomery. *International Hospitality Review*, 33(1), 3–4.